

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,269	02/24/2004	Tony D. Hackett	RKIN101	2470
759	03/06/2006		EXAMINER	
Joseph W. Holland			UPTON, CHRISTOPHER	
HOLLAND & THIEL, P.C. PO BOX 1840			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Boise, ID 83701-1840			1724	

DATE MAILED: 03/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

į.			v		
4	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/786,269	HACKETT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christopher Upton	1724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
, =	action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/786,269

Art Unit: 1724

1. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 2

In claims 1 and 10, it is unclear as to the sequence of the elements. Similarly, it is unclear in claims 3 and 11 how all of the elements are structurally related to each other. Due to antecedent basis requirements, it appears that claim 9 should depend from claim 8, and claim 13 should depend from claim 12.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fletcher in view of Dunn et al.

Fletcher disclose a water treatment system having an inlet, chlorinator with tablets, media filters, a pump, and valves, all fluidly connected to each other, substantially as claimed. Fletched=r does not disclose a storage tank. It is known to place a storage tank in sequence with a chlorinator in a similar arrangement, as exemplified by Dunn. It would therefore have been obvious for one skilled in the art to add a storage tank to the sequence of Fletcher, to permit the chlorine sufficient contact time to kill microorganisms.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,269

Art Unit: 1724

4. Claims 2, 3 and 6-9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 3

Claims 10-16 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The recitation of a water treatment system having an inlet, chlorinator, water storage tank, media filter and pump, wherein the inlet, chlorinator and media filter all fit inside the tank's footprint as recited in claims 2, 6 and 10; there is an inlet manifold with a sediment filter, solenoid valve and air charging device connected to both the chlorinator and the storage tank as recited in claim 3; the media filter has the specific sequence recited in claim 7; or the control valve has a processor, memory and input device as recited in claim 8 patentably distinguishes over the prior art of record.

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Other references of interest include Holler, Chandler, Haase, Pansini, Conrad, DeLonge, Sutera and Eldredge.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Upton whose telephone number is 571-272-1169. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, off every other Monday.

Art Unit: 1724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher Upton Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724